

Department of Economics, Finance, and Quantitative Analysis Journal Ranking Guidelines

1. The list represents a floor for journal credit (e.g. if the list we adopt says a journal is ranked “B”, then neither the department chair at annual evaluations nor the department T&P committee can consider it something less than a “B”).
2. A faculty member can make a case that his/her journal article is worth something higher than what the department’s adopted list states both during the Annual Reviews process and the T&P process. At the annual review, the department chair, as part of his or her letter, can agree, disagree or not take a position. The annual review letter is part of the T&P portfolio, and as an independent body, the T&P committee can agree or disagree.
3. If an article is published in a different discipline (e.g. accounting) and there is a discrepancy between our department and the other department’s rating, the higher rating will count.
4. The derivation of “floor” rankings is derived from the ABDC and ABS lists as specified in the table below.

Rankings of journal by ABDC and ABS	Derived ranking
(A+,A+)	A+
(A+,A) or (A,A+) or (A,A)	A
(C,C)	C
Any pair other than the above	B
Note: If a journal appears on only one list, derived ranking = that list’s ranking.	

The most recent ABS and ABDC journal lists can be found using the following links.

<http://www.abdc.edu.au/>

<http://www.the-abs.org.uk/?id=257>